Fuel Cycle Simulators for Nuclear Archaeology

Technical Workshop on Fuel Cycle Simulation 2021 <u>Max Schalz</u>, Malte Göttsche





Nuclear fuel cycle: the dual use dilemma



Icons adapted from Princeton Nuclear Futures Lab 2020.

Princeton Nuclear Futures Lab (2020). NU - Mapping Nuclear Verification. URL: http://verification.nu (visited on 06/10/2020)





Nuclear fuel cycle: the dual use dilemma

- HEU or Pu are the main components of nuclear warheads
- civilian NFC can be misused to product HEU and Pu
- detect diversion/misuse using nuclear archaeology



Icons adapted from Princeton Nuclear Futures Lab 2020.

NFC: nuclear fuel cycle Princeton Nuclear Futures Lab (2020). NU - Mapping Nuclear Verification. uRL: http://verification.nu (visited on 06/10/2020)





Introduction

What is nuclear archaeology?

- reconstruct the fissile material history using
 - documentation
 - numerical simulation tools
 - on-site measurements
- facility-based or integrated approach
 - nuclear reactor, enrichment facility
 - complete NFC
- application cases:
 - arms control, non-proliferation and disarmament
 - state-internally
 - historical precedent: South Africa





Nuclear Archaeology

Applying nuclear archaeology

assumptions:

- fissile material baseline declaration
- information on the fuel cycle
- operational parameters
 - ightarrow goal: verify the declaration

```
DECLARATION
FISSILE MATERIAL
Year Month HEU [kg] Pu [kg]
.... NUCLEAR FUEL CYCLE FACILITIES
Facility A
....
Facility B
....
```





Step 1: verify the declared material balances

- check self-consistency of declaration
- recreate the NFC using forward simulations
- mismatch: missing self-consistency in declaration or non-optimal use of resources?



 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Cyclus logo } {\mathbb O} \mbox{ University of Wisconsin Computational Nuclear Engineering Research Group,} \\ & \mbox{ https://fuelcycle.org} \end{array}$

Kathryn D. Huff et al. (Apr. 2016). "Fundamental concepts in the Cyclus nuclear fuel cycle simulation framework". In: *Advances in Engineering Software* 94, pp. 46–59. ISSN: 0965-9978. DOI: 10.1016/j.advengsoft.2016.01.014





Step 2: cross-checking the declaration with measurements

- high-fidelity models \rightarrow precise material compositions
- compare material samples to simulation
- increase trust in correctness of declaration

misoenrichment: a nuclear archaeology module for Cyclus

- developed at the Nuclear Verification and Disarmament Group, RWTH Aachen University
- enrichment facility and reactor facility
- provide reliable, yet fast predictions





MIsoEnrich: tracking the enrichment of minor isotopes

- U_{nat} composed of 3 isotopes, $U_{rep} > 6 \\ isotopes$
- minor isotopes useful for verification
- MARC models enrichment, see von Halle 1987
- MIsoEnrich connects MARC and Cyclus



Tails composition of the enrichment of 0.9%, low burnup $U_{\rm rep}$ as a function of the product enrichment grade.

MARC: matched abundance ratio cascade

E. von Halle (July 1987). "Multicomponent Isotope Separation in Matched Abundance Ratio Cascades Composed of Stages with Large Separation Factors". In: Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Separation Phenomena in Liquids and Gases. Ed. by K. G. Roesner. Darmstadt, Germany





GprReactor: simulating reactor operation quickly and accurately

- full reactor simulation slow and computationally expensive
- GPRs as surrogate models, see Figueroa and Göttsche 2021
- GprReactor uses this approach
- \bullet plug in any trained GPR \rightarrow high flexibility



Predicting the spent fuel composition of the Savannah River Site K-reactor for different burnup levels. Note that other parameters were varied, as well.

GPR: Gaussian process regression

Antonio Figueroa and Malte Göttsche (2021). "Gaussian processes for surrogate modeling of discharged fuel nuclide compositions". In: Annals of Nuclear Energy 156, p. 108085. ISSN: 0306-4549. DOI: 10.1016/j.anucene.2020.108085





Disarming a fictive nuclear weapon state



The nuclear fuel cycle in question. 'N' and 'R' denote the enrichment of natural and reprocessed uranium, respectively.





Available information

- operational parameters and burnup estimates
- fissile material baseline declaration (generated using a separate simulation):









Verifying the fissile material production

- burnup range: 1.8–2.1 MWd/kg
- declared HEU and Pu in accordance (within estimated burnup range)
- work in progress: compare simulated spent fuel composition with measurements







A case for fuel cycle simulators in nuclear archaeology?

- already existing, modular, open-source framework → flexible usage
- simulators advantageous for large NFCs

Next steps

- modelling of US nuclear weapons programme
- inverse problem: can measurement X correspond to declaration Y?
- 'limited resources' verification: does an ideal verification strategy exist?





- difficult to recreate an NFC *exactly*
- predict the future versus recreate the past

Conclusion

Thanks for watching



NATO officials observing a US nuclear weapons test. Alan Taylor (6th May 2011). When We Tested Nuclear Bombs. The Atlantic. URL: https://www.theatlantic.com/photo/2011/05/when-we-tested-nuclear-bombs/100061/#img26 (visited on 01/06/2021).





14 of 14Fuel Cycle Simulators for Nuclear Archaeology | Max Schalz, Malte Göttsche |
TWoFCS 2021 | 2 July 2021



